IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.M.JOSEPH

FRIDAY, THE 30TH MARCH 2007 / 9TH CHAITHRA 1929

WP(C).No. 9603 of 2007(R)

PETITIONER:

P.K.BALAKRISHNAN, MANAGER,
AIDED UP SCHOOL, MANNUR, P.O.MANNUR,
PALAKKAD DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.P.K.SURESH KUMAR
SMT.PRIYA VIJAYAN

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. THE ASSISTANT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER,
PARLI, PALAKKAD DISTRICT.

2. THE DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER,
PALAKKAD.

ADDL. 3RD RESPONDENT IMPLEADED.

3. STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY THE
SECRETARY, GENERAL EDUCATION DEPARTMENT,
GOVERNMENT OF KEARLA, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

ADDL.3RD RESPONDENT IS SUO MOTU IMPLEADED AS PER THE JUDGMENT DATED 30.3.2007.

BY GOVT. PLEADER SRI. A.J. VARGHESE.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 30/03/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

K.M.JOSEPH, J.

- -

WP.(C) No.9603 OF 2007

- -

Dated this the 30th day of March, 2007

JUDGMENT

Petitioner challenges Ext.P3. Ext.P3 is an order passed by the AEO apparently under Chapter XIVA. I feel that this is a case where the petitioner should be relegated to the remedy of preferring a revision under Rule 92.

2. State of Kerala, represented by the Secretary, General Education Department, Government of Kerala, Thiruvananthapuram is suo motu impleaded as additional third respondent. Heard learned Government Pleader appearing on behalf of respondents.

Accordingly if the petitioner prefers a revision under Rule 92 before the additional third respondent within a period of three weeks from today, the additional third respondent will consider the same and take a decision in accordance with law after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner and any other affected party within a period of ten weeks from the date of receipt of the revision.

The writ petition is disposed of as above.

(K.M. JOSEPH, JUDGE)

sb

K.M.JOSEPH, JJ.

- - - - - - - - -- -

W.A. No. OF

- -- - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

JUDGMENT

Dated this the day of February, 2006.